

On absence of finite approximation relative to model completeness in the propositional provability logic

Olga Izbaş, Andrei Rusu

Abstract

In the present paper we consider expressibility of formulas in the provability logic GL and related to it questions of model completeness of system of formulas. We prove the absence of finite approximation relative to model completeness in GL .

Keywords: expressibility of formulas, model completeness, provability logic, diagonalizable algebra.

1 Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems simulating human behavior are often called intelligent agents. These intelligent agents exhibit somehow human-like intelligence. Intelligent agents typically represent human cognitive states using underlying beliefs and knowledge modeled in a knowledge representation language, specifically in the context of decision making [1]. In the present paper we investigate some functional properties of the underlying knowledge representation language of intelligent agents which are based on the provability logic GL [2].

The notion of expressibility of formulas was proposed in [6, 7]. In the present paper we prove that the propositional provability logic of Gödel-Löb (GL) is not finitely approximable relative to model completeness.

2 Definitions and notations

Provability logic. We consider the propositional provability logic GL , the formulas of which are based on propositional variables p, q, r, \dots and logical connectives $\&, \vee, \supset, \neg, \Delta$, its axioms are the classical ones together with the following Δ -formulas:

$$\Delta(p \supset q) \supset (\Delta p \supset \Delta q), \quad \Delta(\Delta p \supset p) \supset \Delta p, \quad \Delta p \supset \Delta \Delta p,$$

and the rules of inference are the rules of: 1) substitution; 2) the modus ponens, and 3) the rule of necessity, which allows to get formula ΔA if we already get formula A . The normal extensions of the propositional provability logic GL are defined as usual [2].

Diagonalizable algebras. A diagonalizable algebra [4] is a universal algebra of the form $\mathfrak{A} = \langle M; \&, \vee, \supset, \neg, \Delta \rangle$, where $\langle M; \&, \vee, \supset, \neg \rangle$ is a boolean algebra, and the unary operation Δ satisfies the relations

$$\Delta(\Delta x \supset x) = \Delta x, \quad \Delta(x \& y) = (\Delta x \& \Delta y), \quad \Delta 1_{\mathfrak{A}} = 1_{\mathfrak{A}},$$

where $1_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the unit of \mathfrak{A} , which is denoted also by 1 in case the confusion is avoided.

Diagonalizable algebras are known to be algebraic models for provability logic and its extensions [5]. Obviously we can interpret any formula of the calculus of GL on any diagonalizable algebra \mathfrak{A} . As usual a formula F is said to be valid on \mathfrak{A} if for any evaluation of variables of F with elements of \mathfrak{A} the value of the formula on \mathfrak{A} is $1_{\mathfrak{A}}$. The set of all valid formulas on \mathfrak{A} , denoted by $L\mathfrak{A}$, and referred to as the logic of the algebra \mathfrak{A} , forms an extension $L\mathfrak{A}$ of the provability logic GL [5].

An extension L of GL is called tabular if there is a finite diagonalizable algebra \mathfrak{A} such that $L = L\mathfrak{A}$.

Expressibility and model completeness. The formula $F(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ is a model for the Boolean function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ if for any ordered set $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}, i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$F(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = f(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, where logical connectors from F are interpreted in a natural way on the two-valued Boolean algebra [6, 7].

They say the formula F is expressible in the logic L via a system of formulas Σ if F can be obtained from variables and Σ , applying finitely many times 2 kinds of rules: a) the rule of weak substitution, b) the rule of passing to equivalent formula in L [3].

The system of formulas Σ is called model complete in the logic L if at least a model for every Boolean function is expressible via Σ in the logic L . System Σ is model pre-complete in L if Σ is not model complete in L but for any formula F which is not expressible in L via Σ , the system $\Sigma \cup \{F\}$ is already model complete in L [8].

The logic L is finitely approximable with respect to model completeness if for any system of formulas Σ which is not model complete in L there is a tabular extension of L in which Σ is model incomplete too.

3 Main result

Now we are able to formulate the main result of the present work.

Theorem 1. *The propositional provability logic GL is not finitely approximable with respect to model completeness.*

4 Conclusion

Taking into account our previous result [9] together with these new findings we can conclude that traditional algorithm for determining model completeness of systems of formulas in GL is impossible to find out.

Acknowledgments. Institute of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, <http://math.md/>, have supported the research for this paper for the first author and the second author get partial support for this research from Information Society Development In-

stitute, <http://idsi.asm.md/>, and Ovidius University of Constanta, <http://math.univ-ovidius.ro/>.

References

- [1] Subrata Das. *Foundations Of Decision-Making Agents: Logic, Probability and Modality*. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2008.
- [2] G. S. Boolos. *The Logic of Provability*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [3] A. V. Kuznetsov. *On detecting non-deducibility and non-expressibility*. in: Logical Deduction, Nauka, Moscow, 1979, pp. 5–33 (in Russian).
- [4] R. Magari. *The diagonalizable algebras*. Boll. Unione Matem. Italiana (1975) **12**, pp. 117–125.
- [5] R. M. Solovay. *Provability interpretations of modal logic // Israel J. Math.*, 1975, **25**, pp. 287–304.
- [6] Yu.N. Tolstova. *Modelling l -valued logic in k -valued logic ($k > 1$)*. Problemy Kibernet., 1967, **18** 67–82 (in Russian); translated as *Systems Theory Res.*, 1968, **18**, pp. 62–76.
- [7] O. Covalgiu. *Modeling the classical logic in the 3-valued extension of the provability-intuitionistic logic*, Buletinul A. S. M. Matematica, 2 (1990), pp. 9–15 (in Russian).
- [8] O. Covalgiu, M. Ratsa. *On model completeness for the classes of the functions 3-valued Δ -pseudo-Boolean algebra*, An. Șt. Univ. Ovidius, ser. Matematica, 1994, **2**, pp. 76–79.
- [9] O. Covalgiu, A. Rusu. *On non-tabular m -pre-complete classes of formulas in the propositional provability logic*, An. Științ. Univ. “Ovidius” Constanța, Ser. Mat., 2006 (1), **14**, pp. 91–98.

Olga Izbaș¹, Andrei Rusu^{2,3}

¹Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, Academy of Sciences of Moldova
Email: olga.izbas@math.md

²Information Society Development Institute, Academy of Sciences of Moldova
Email: andrei.rusu@idsi.md

³Dep. Math. and Informatics, Ovidius University of Constanța
Email: agrusu@univ-ovidius.ro